



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.  | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/578,734   | 05/10/2006  | Lars Lidgren         | 02-405,028.5-00     | 4470             |
| 22852 7590 09/17/2010<br>FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW, GARRETT & DUNNER<br>LLP<br>901 NEW YORK AVENUE, NW<br>WASHINGTON, DC 20001-4413 |             |                      |                     |                  |
| EXAMINER<br>MERENE, JAN CHRISTOP L   |             |                      |                     |                  |
| ART UNIT   |             | PAPER NUMBER         |                     |                  |
| 3733   |             |                      |                     |                  |
| MAIL DATE  |             | DELIVERY MODE        |                     |                  |
| 09/17/2010   |             | PAPER                |                     |                  |

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

|   |   |                                       |  |
|---|---|---------------------------------------|--|
| <b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b> | <b>Application No.</b><br>10/578,734      | <b>Applicant(s)</b><br>LIDGREN ET AL. |  |
|   | <b>Examiner</b><br>JAN CHRISTOPHER MERENE | <b>Art Unit</b><br>3733               |  |

  

**All Participants:**

(1) JAN CHRISTOPHER MERENE.

(2) Jessica Winchester.

**Date of Interview:** 15 September 2010

**Type of Interview:**  
☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant    ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes    ☐ No  
 If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

**Part I.**  
 Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**  
**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**  
*The examiner contacted the applicant because claim 22 depended off a canceled claim. Claim 22 should have been canceled in the previous examiner's amendment. Claim 22 (previously renumbered claim 18) is now canceled in the attached supplemental examiner's amendment.*

**Part III.**  
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Jan Christopher Merene/  
 Examiner, Art Unit 3733

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)